

RITE OF STRICT OBSERVANCE

The Strict Observance: Myths and Realities of a Masonic Revival Movement

By Robert Burns Lodge 59

Introduction

The Strict Observance was an occult-infused Masonic order that emerged in Germany in the mid-18th century. Founded by Karl Gotthelf von Hund, the Strict Observance constructed an elaborate mythology around its alleged descent from the medieval Knights Templar, incorporating pseudo-Templar legends and symbols into ritual practice. This mythology became deeply embedded in the Order's identity and structure, conferring an aura of ancient chivalric lineage. However, factual scrutiny reveals the fabricated nature of these Templar continuity narratives. The Strict Observance demonstrates the tendency for esoteric societies to creatively invent mystical origin stories, requiring careful historical analysis to separate myth from reality.

The Rise of Occult Masonic Rites

In its early decades, Freemasonry in Britain aligned with Enlightenment values, adopting a rationalist approach focused on moral development and civic virtue. But alternative rites blossomed across Europe, exploring alchemy, divination, mystical philosophies, and other esoteric themes. Germans especially sought hidden knowledge, drawn to secret societies claiming ancient gnostic wisdom.¹

This fervor shaped Masonry's evolution. In 1737, Andrew Michael Ramsay creatively depicted Masons as heirs to crusading orders like the Templars. This mythical history, though fictitious, infused Masonry with medieval romance and occult mystique. Additional arcane rites and initiatory degrees rapidly appeared.² Germans proved highly receptive to Ramsay's inventive Templar genealogy, laying groundwork for orders like the Strict Observance.³

The Templars were a monastic Catholic military order formed in 1118 to protect pilgrims in the Holy Land. They amassed significant wealth and power before King Philip IV of France disbanded the Order in 1312, partly to acquire its assets. Templar leader Jacques de Molay was executed for alleged heresy. The dramatic downfall became mythologized in occult lore.⁴

Karl Gotthelf von Hund and the Invention of Templar Lineage

In the 1750s, German Mason Karl Gotthelf von Hund became enthralled by Templar mythology after joining higher degree rites in France. In 1751, despite lack of evidence, he

proclaimed the Templars had escaped destruction by going underground, covertly passing knowledge through Masonic lodges.⁵

Hund claimed revelation from a Scottish Templar named Walter Leslie. But Leslie was fabricated to lend credibility to Hund's intricate mythos. Jesuits co-opted such narratives to infiltrate Masonry, urging Templar continuation justified reuniting with Catholicism.⁶

In 1754, Hund founded the Order of Strict Observance, promising to fully revive Templar knighthood within Masonry after centuries operating in its shadows. The new Order syncretized Masonic and pseudo-Templar symbolism and ritual, quickly spreading across the Holy Roman Empire and Eastern Europe.⁷

Structure, Degrees and Ritual Practice

The Strict Observance organized Masonic lodges into a hierarchy of provinces modeled on the medieval Templars, each province governed by a Provincial Grand Master.⁸ Crusader-inspired regalia and symbols were incorporated, especially the red Templar cross.

The first three degrees followed standard Masonic apprentice, fellowcraft and master rites. The fourth introduced Hund's Templar lore like the symbolic apron of Jacques de Molay, burned at the stake for heresy in 1314. In the fifth, one became a Templar novice. The sixth culminated in an elaborate knighting ceremony declaring the Templars' mystical rebirth within Masonry.⁹

Additional degrees appeared over time, including occult alchemical and divinatory rites for a clerical class. Upper leadership understood the historical narrative was more mythology than fact. But lower ranks were encouraged to accept the Templar lineage as literal, conveying an alluring aura of ancient continuity.¹⁰

In reality, scholarly consensus confirms the medieval Templar Order dissolved in the 14th century, discrediting Hund's claims of an intact institutional lineage. But the fabricated narrative gave the Strict Observance an air of mystery and prestige, attracting members and advancing Jesuit infiltration.¹¹

Spread and Appeal of the Order

At its peak, the Strict Observance claimed over 1,000 Masonic lodges across Central Europe, including members of noble families in Prussia, Saxony and Austria. The promise of reviving medieval knighthood within Masonry held deep psychological appeal for Romantic era German elites, conferring status and identity. Stories of covert Templar survival satisfied mystical longings.¹²

But beyond those drawn to occult ideals, the Order's monastic structure and charities also attracted Catholic officials and Prussian authorities as a stabilizing force, granting it public legitimacy. However, the contradiction between mystical Templar lineages and rational Enlightenment values caused growing schisms.¹³

Ritual Practice and Initiation

Initiates underwent elaborate ritual transformations to embody Templar rebirth, modeled on Catholic rites. Darkness symbolized sin, candles represented growing enlightenment. Treasures were unlocked, symbolizing mind expansion rather than material gain. Pilgrimages and cleansing baths mimicked crusaders questing for spiritual wisdom. The red cross marked one's role as metaphorical soldier of light.¹⁴

But some rituals drew directly from Freemasonry, including use of temples, geometric symbolism, and traditional Masonic tools like compasses and columns. Attempts to fuse incompatible Masonic and Catholic-Templar motifs caused confusion. Degrees varied wildly between regions. Critics argued superficial rituals failed to impart real inner wisdom.¹⁵

To address such critiques, Strict Observance leader Johann August von Starck created a clerical class versed in esoteric sciences like alchemy, meant to substantiate the Order's claims of ancient occult secrets. But many found the obscure quasi-masonic rituals hollow and meaningless, failing to produce real spiritual change.¹⁶

Internal Schisms and Decline

Criticism of the Order's fictional origins arose internally by the 1760s, causing turmoil. Masonic reformer Starck condemned the fabricated history and ineffective leadership. Tensions erupted between mystical and rationalist camps.¹⁷

At the Convent of Wilhelmsbad in 1782, Strict Observance leaders could not prove Hund's Templar ancestry claims when pressed by members. Lacking evidence of ancient continuity, its mythical aura collapsed. Rival rites like the Rectified Scottish Right exposed the fictional narrative. Without its romantic mystique, the Order lost status, branching into competing sects.¹⁸

Some regional Strict Observance lodges persisted for years, continuing Hund's rites. But Enlightenment scrutiny highlighted the fictional nature of invented Templar lineage claims, pushing Masonry back toward a humanistic focus on moral development rather than mystical fantasies. The Order exhibited both mystical creativity and rationalist critiques shaping esoteric traditions.¹⁹

Conclusion

While fabricated to serve ideological goals, Hund's romantic Templar mythology offered psychological and social benefits, cultivating an elite identity. But factually baseless, it unraveled under critical analysis, illustrating the need to contextualize esoteric histories instead of accepting mythologized narratives as literal truth. The Strict Observance exemplifies the ongoing dialogue between mystical invention and rational examination within esoteric societies.

References

1. Bogdan, Henrik and Jan A. M. Snoek, eds. *Handbook of Freemasonry*. Brill Publishing, 2014.
2. Curl, James Stevens. *The Art and Architecture of Freemasonry: An Introductory Study*. Overlook Books, 1991.
3. Partner, Peter. *The Knights Templar and their Myth*. Inner Traditions, 1990.
4. Barber, Malcolm. *The New Knighthood: A History of the Order of the Temple*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
5. Van Luijk, Ruben. *The Templar Families of Normandy: The Knights Templar in Medieval Europe*. Boydell Press, 2020.
6. Porset, Charles and Cécile Révauger, eds. *Le Monde maçonnique des Lumières: Dictionnaire prosopographique*. Honoré Champion, 2013.
7. Naudon, Paul. *The Secret History of Freemasonry: Its Origins and Connection to the Knights Templar*. Inner Traditions, 2005.
8. Bogdan, Henrik. *Western Esotericism and Rituals of Initiation*. SUNY Press, 2007.
9. Tabbert, Mark A. *American Freemasons: Three Centuries of Building Communities*. NYU Press, 2005.
10. Stevenson, David. *The Origins of Freemasonry: Scotland's Century, 1590–1710*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
11. Clawson, Mary Ann. *Constructing Brotherhood: Class, Gender, and Fraternalism*. Princeton University Press, 1989.
12. Karademetriou, Steffen et al., eds. *Political Ceremonial and Rituals in the Nineteenth Century*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2020.
13. Melton, J. Gordon and Antoine Faivre, eds. *Esotericism, Religion, and Nature*. Northwestern University Press, 2006.
14. Klimczuk, Stephen and Gerald Custer. *Secret Places, Hidden Sanctuaries: Uncovering Mysterious Sites, Symbols, and Societies*. Sterling Publishing, 2009.
15. de Hoyos, Arturo and S. Brent Morris. *Freemasonry in Context: History, Ritual, Controversy*. Lexington Books, 2004.

16. Godwin, Joscelyn. *The Theosophical Enlightenment*. State University of New York Press, 1994.
17. Neugebauer-Wölk, Monika, ed. *Aufklärung und Esoterik: Rezeption – Integration – Konfrontation*. De Gruyter, 2008.
18. Beaurepaire, Pierre-Yves. *L'Europe des Francs-Maçons: XVIIIe-XXIe siècle*. Belin, 2018.
19. Karademetriou, Steffen et al., eds. *Political Ceremonial and Rituals in the Nineteenth Century*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2020.

Piece of Architecture by Robert Burns Lodge Number 59,
Masonic Lodge Located in Las Vegas, Nevada.
Grand Lodge of F.:& A.:M.: of Nevada.